

Form No:HCJD/C-121  
ORDER SHEET  
IN THE LAHORE HIGH COURT LAHORE  
JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Case No. W.P. No.227807/2018

Haroon Farooq Versus Government of Punjab, etc

S.No.of order/ Proceeding	Date of order/ Proceeding	Order with signature of judge, and that of parties or counsel, where necessary.
---------------------------	---------------------------	---

09.04.2021 Mr. Zeeshan Mazari, Advocate vice counsel for the petitioner.  
Syed Kamal Ali Haider, Advocate for Water Commission.  
M/s Ahmad Rafay Alam, M. Azhar Siddique, Sheraz Zaka and M. Yasin Hatif, Advocates for petitioners in connected petitions.  
Mr. Sahibzada Muzaffar Ali, Advocate for the LDA.  
M/s. Nadeem Ahmad Sheikh, Jahanzeb Sukhera and Osama Qamar, Advocates for RUDA.  
Mr. Ashtar Ausaf, Advocate in C.M.No.34/2020.  
Sardar Balakh Sher Khosa, Advocate for Cantonment Board.  
Mr. Ahmad Pervaiz Advocate in C.M.No.10/2020.  
Mr. Monim Sultan, Assistant Attorney General.  
Mr. Anis Ali Hashmi, Addl.A.G.  
Muhammad Nawaz Nanik, Director Law EPA and Khsif Sajjad Assistant Legal EPA.

C.M.No.10/2020

Reply on behalf of EPA has been filed however, reply on behalf of Punjab Industrial Estate Development and Management Company has not been filed which shall be done before the next date of hearing. Also it is directed that the Chief Executive Officer of the company shall hold a meeting with the Secretary Industries of the Government of the Punjab in order to explore different options of the setting up of a grid station set up for

ATTESTED

Examiner  
Copy Section  
Lahore High Court, Lahore

affluent treatment plant. This is pursuant to the decision taken by the Board of Directors of the company, however, stay order has been issued by this Court as the original master plan cannot be changed to the detriment of the area as well as other factors which will impact the environment. The meeting shall be convened by the Secretary Industries with next one month to evaluate various options. Adjourned.

Main Case

2. The report on behalf of Chairman Water Commission has been filed which shows the progress made on various fronts by the Commission which includes the conversion of brick-kilns on zigzag technology. Also it mentions the progress made with regard to notice served on the sugar industry for compliance with the environmental laws. Some more time is requested on behalf of the Commission to complete the process. It has also been submitted on behalf of the Commission that progress is also being made on a reference made by this Court regarding Naya Pakistan Housing Apartments and a meeting is scheduled to be held in the near future.

3. The E.P.A has filed its reply in C.M No.1 of 2021 regarding cutting of mango orchards in the area of

ATTESTED  
Copy  
Lahore High Court Section

Multan Division. This shall be placed on record. Mr. Shezada Mazhar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of DHA Multan and seeks some time to make a reply to this application. He may also go through the report filed by EPA which also mentions that notice has been served on DHA Multan regarding breach of the conditions on which EIA was issued which has been attached with the reply. The said EIA was issued on 17.1.2017 and was done subject to certain conditions. It is directed that EPA shall proceed with the show cause notice issued to DHA Multan and complete the proceedings expeditiously.

4. Despite orders of this Court on the last date of hearing, the data has not been submitted by the Urban Unit. The Chief Executive of the Urban Unit is directed to appear in person on the next date of hearing to explain as to why directions of this Court were not complied with.

5. To come up on 13.04.2021.

  
(SHAHID KARIM)  
JUDGE

ATTESTED  
Examiner  
Copy of the Court's Order